

A Generalization of Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem*

Auslander-Buchsbaum 定理的推广

Tang Gaohua¹, Yin Xiaobin², Tong Wenting³

唐高华¹, 殷晓斌², 佟文廷³

(1. Dept. of Math. & Comp. Sci., Guangxi Teachers Education Coll., Nanning, Guangxi, 530001, China; 2. Dept. of Math., Anhui Normal Univ., Wuhu, Anhui, 241000, China; 3. Dept. of Math., Nanjing Univ., Nanjing, Jiangsu, 210093, China)

(1. 广西师范学院数学与计算机科学系, 广西南宁 530001; 2. 安徽师范大学数学系, 安徽芜湖 241000; 3. 南京大学数学系, 江苏南京 210093)

Abstract The Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem states that $pd_R M + \text{Codim}_R M = gl.\dim R$ for each finitely generated nonzero module M over a Noetherian local ring R with finite global dimension. This theorem was generalized to nonzero finitely presented Noetherian modules M over a coherent local ring R with finitely generated maximal ideal J and finite weak global dimension ([2]). Our aim is to extend the Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem to any commutative coherent rings.

Key words coherent ring, finitely presented, global dimension, weak global dimension

摘要: Auslander-Buchsbaum 定理指出, 如果 R 是一个整体维数有限的 Noether 局部环, M 是一个有限生成的非零 R -模, 那么 $pd_R M + \text{Codim}_R M = gl.\dim R$. 文献 [2] 证明上述公式对极大理想为有限生成的凝聚环上的有限表现的非零 Noether 模依然成立. 本文试图将 Auslander-Buchsbaum 公式推广到任意的交换凝聚环上.

关键词: 凝聚环 有限表现 整体维数 弱整体维数

中图分类号: O153.3 文献标识码: A 文章编号: 1005-9164(2005)02-0097-05

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper it is assumed that all rings are commutative rings and all modules are unitary. Our aim in this paper is to extend the Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem to any commutative coherent rings.

Let R be a ring and M a R -module. Recall that M is called finitely presented if there is a finitely generated R -module P and a finitely generated submodule N of P such that $P/N \cong M$. R is called a coherent ring if every finitely generated ideal of R is finitely presented.

收稿日期: 2004-08-17

Biography Tang Gaohua (1965-), Male, from Guilin, Guangxi, Professor, major research fields are commutative algebra and homological algebra.

* Supported by Guangxi Natural Sciences Foundation (0221029) and the Support Program for 100 Young and Middle-aged Disciplinary Leaders in Guangxi Higher Education Institutions and Scientific Reserch Foundation of Guangxi Educational Department.

In this paper, we use $\text{Spec}(R)$, $\text{Max}(R)$, $gl.\dim R$, $w.gl.\dim R$, $pd_R(M)$, $fd_R(M)$, $\text{Codim}_R(M)$ for the prime spectrum, the maximal spectrum, global dimension, weak global dimension of R , projective dimension, flat dimension, codimension of R -module M , respectively.

The well-known Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem states that $pd_R M + \text{Codim}_R M = gl.\dim R$ for each finitely generated nonzero module M over a Noetherian local ring R with finite global dimension^[1]. In reference [2], it has been proved that the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula was true for a finitely presented Noetherian R -module M over a coherent local ring R with finitely generated maximal ideal and finite weak global dimension.

In Section 2, we extend this result to finitely presented (Noetherian) modules over any commutative coherent rings.

In Section 3, we give some examples and remarks on the main results in Section 2.

2 Main results

Let R be a ring and M a R -module. We set $Z(M) = \{x \in R; xa = 0 \text{ for some } a \neq 0 \text{ in } M\}$, the set of zero divisors of M in R .

First we give several Lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 Let R be a ring and I a finitely generated ideal in R . Let M be a nonzero Noetherian R -module and N a proper submodule of M . If $I \subseteq Z(M/N)$ then there exists an element $a \in M$ but $a \notin N$ such that $Ia \subseteq N$.

In particular, if $I \subseteq Z(M)$ then there exists a nonzero element $a \in M$ such that $Ia = 0$.

Proof See reference [3, Theorem 1.3].

Lemma 2.2 Let R be a coherent ring, M a finitely presented R -module. For any natural numbers n , the following statements are equivalent.

- (a) $pd_R M \leq n$;
- (b) $fd_R M \leq n$;
- (c) $Tor_{i+1}^R(M, R/m) = 0$, for any $m \in \text{Max}(R)$ and any $i \geq 1$.

Proof See reference [4, Corollary 2.5.5 and Corollary 2.5.10].

By using of localization, from Lemma 2.2, it is easy to get the following consequence.

Corollary 2.3 Let R be a coherent ring, M a finitely presented R -module and n a non-negative integer. Then

- (a) $pd_R M = fd_R M$;
- (b) $fd_R M = n$ if and only if $fd_{R_m} M_m \leq n$ for all $m \in \text{Max}(R)$ and there exists at least one maximal ideal m such that $fd_{R_m} M_m = fd_R M = n$.

In the following discussion, the maximal ideals m which satisfying $fd_{R_m} M_m = fd_R M$ will play a very important role.

Lemma 2.4 Let R be a coherent ring and $m \in \text{Max}(R)$. Then

$$w.gl.dim R_m = fd_R(R/m) = fd_{R_m}(R_m/m_m).$$

Proof By reference [5, Theorem 5], $w.gl.dim R_m = fd_{R_m}(R_m/m_m)$ for R_m is a coherent local ring with unique maximal ideal m_m . So we need only to prove $fd_R(R/m) = fd_{R_m}(R_m/m_m)$. Since $m' = R_{m'}$ if $m \neq m' \in \text{Max}(R)$ and from reference [4, Theorem 1.3.14], we have

$$fd_R(R/m) = \sup\{fd_{R_{m'}}(R/m)_{m'} \mid m' \in \text{Max}(R)\} \\ = \sup\{fd_{R_{m'}}(R_{m'}/m_{m'}) \mid m' \in \text{Max}(R)\} = fd_{R_m}(R_m/m_m).$$

Definition 2.5 Let R be a ring, I an ideal of R and M a R -module. we define

$$I\text{-codim}_R(M) = \sup\{n \mid \bar{T}_1, \dots, \bar{T}_n \text{ is a regular } M\text{-}$$

sequence in $I\}$ and $\text{codim}_R(M) = \sup\{I\text{-codim}_R(M) \mid I \text{ is an ideal of } R\}$, which are called the codimension of M in I and codimension of M respectively. Since every ideal of R must be contained in a maximal ideal of R , we have $\text{codim}_R(M) = \sup\{m\text{-codim}_R(M) \mid m \text{ is a maximal ideal of } R\}$.

Theorem 2.6 Let R be a coherent ring and M a finitely presented R -module. If m is a maximal ideal of R satisfying $fd_{R_m} M_m = fd_R M = n < \infty$ and $\bar{T}_1, \dots, \bar{T}_s$ is a regular M -sequence in m , then

$$pd_R(M/I(\bar{T}_1, \dots, \bar{T}_s)M) = pd_R M + s \\ \text{and } pd_{R_m}(M_m/I(\bar{T}_1, \dots, \bar{T}_s)M_m) = pd_R(M/I(\bar{T}_1, \dots, \bar{T}_s)M).$$

Proof For a set M , we use id_M to denote the identity map of M . We prove it by induction on s .

If $s = 1$, then $\bar{T}_1 \in m$ is a regular M -sequence and thus there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} M \longrightarrow M/\bar{T}_1 M \longrightarrow 0, \quad (1)$$

where $f(x) = \bar{T}_1 x, \forall x \in M$. So we have a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow Tor_{j+1}^R(M, R/m) \xrightarrow{H_{j+1}} Tor_j^R(M, R/m) \rightarrow \\ Tor_{j+1}^R(M/\bar{T}_1 M, R/m) \xrightarrow{\Delta} Tor_j^R(M, R/m) \xrightarrow{H_j} \\ Tor_j^R(M, R/m) \rightarrow \dots$$

$$\forall j \geq 0, H_j = Tor_j^R(f, id_{R/m}) = Tor_j^R(\bar{T}_1 id_M, id_{R/m}) = Tor_j^R(id_M, \bar{T}_1 id_{R/m}) = Tor_j^R(id_M, 0) = 0.$$

Thus, $\forall j \geq 0$, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Tor_{j+1}^R(M, R/m) \rightarrow Tor_{j+1}^R(M/\bar{T}_1 M, R/m) \\ \rightarrow Tor_j^R(M, R/m) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

Since $fd_R M = fd_{R_m} M_m = n < \infty$, $Tor_{n+1}^R(M, R/m) = 0$ and $Tor_n^R(M_m, R_m/m_m) \neq 0$. Hence $Tor_n^R(M, R/m) \neq 0$. Thus from Sequence (2), $Tor_{n+1}^R(M/\bar{T}_1 M, R/m) \neq 0$ and therefore, by Lemma 2.2, $pd_R(M/\bar{T}_1 M) \geq n + 1 = pd_R M + 1$. By Sequence (1) and reference [6, Lemma 9.26], $pd_R(M/\bar{T}_1 M) \leq pd_R M + 1 = n + 1$. Hence $pd_R(M/\bar{T}_1 M) = pd_R M + 1$. From the above discussion, we also have $pd_R(M/\bar{T}_1 M) = pd_{R_m}(M/\bar{T}_1 M)_m = n + 1$.

Now suppose $s > 1$, $\bar{T}_1, \dots, \bar{T}_s$ is a M -sequence in m . Set $M^* = M/\bar{T}_1 M$. By the above proof, $pd_R M^* = pd_{R_m}(M^*)_m = pd_R M + 1$ and it is clear that $\bar{T}_2, \dots, \bar{T}_s$ is a regular M^* -sequence in m . Hence, by the inductive hypothesis, we have $pd_R(M^*/(\bar{T}_2, \dots, \bar{T}_s)M^*) = pd_R M + s - 1 = pd_R M + s$, $M^*/(\bar{T}_2, \dots, \bar{T}_s)M^* = (M/\bar{T}_1 M)/(\bar{T}_2, \dots, \bar{T}_s)M/\bar{T}_1 M \cong M/I(\bar{T}_1, \dots, \bar{T}_s)M$ and $pd_R(M^*/(\bar{T}_2, \dots, \bar{T}_s)M^*) = pd_{R_m}(M_m^*/(\bar{T}_2, \dots, \bar{T}_s)M_m^*)$.

Thus $pd_R(M/(\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_s)M) = pd_{R^*}M + s$ and $pd_R(M/(\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_s)M) = pd_{R_m}(M_m/(\mathbb{T}_2, \dots, \mathbb{T}_s)M_m) = pd_{R_m}(M_m/(\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_s)M_m)$.

Lemma 2.7 Let R be a coherent ring and M a finitely presented noetherian R -module and m a maximal ideal of R satisfying $pd_{R_m}M_m = pd_R M$. If $w.gl.dim R_m < \infty$ then $pd_R M = w.gl.dim R_m$ if and only if there exists a nonzero submodule N of M such that $mN = 0$.

Proof If there exists a non-zero submodule N of M such that $mN = 0$, we may assume $N = (x)$. The homomorphism $R \rightarrow N$, in which $r \in R$ is mapped into rx , has kernel m , hence $N \cong R/m$, and then we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R/m \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$. By localization, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R_m/m_m \rightarrow M_m \rightarrow M_m/N_m \rightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

is also exact. Since $w.gl.dim R_m = n < \infty$, by Lemma 2.4, $w.gl.dim R_m = fd_{R_m}(R_m/m_m)$ and by reference [4, Theorem 1.3.9], $w.gl.dim R_m = \sup\{fd_{R_m}(R_m/I) \mid I \text{ a finitely generated ideal of } R_m\}$ and by reference [7, Theorem 1.2.5], $fd_{R_m}(R_m/I) = \sup\{i \mid Tor_i^R(R_m/I, R_m/m_m) \neq 0\}$, thus there exists a finitely generated ideal I of R_m such that $w.gl.dim R_m = fd_{R_m}(R_m/I) = n$ and then $Tor_n^{R_m}(R_m/I, R_m/m_m) \neq 0$ and $Tor_{n+1}^{R_m}(R_m/I, R_m/m_m) = 0$ for any R_m -module K . Write the long exact sequence of $Tor^{R_m}(R_m/I, -)$ resulting from the short exact sequence (3) to obtain exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Tor_n^{R_m}(R_m/I, R_m/m_m) \rightarrow Tor_n^{R_m}(R_m/I, M_m)$ and then $Tor_n^{R_m}(R_m/I, M_m) \neq 0$, which shows that $fd_{R_m}M_m \geq n$. But $w.gl.dim R_m = n$. Hence $fd_{R_m}M_m = w.gl.dim R_m = n$ and then $pd_R M = w.gl.dim R_m$.

Now suppose $pd_R M = w.gl.dim R_m$, we need to prove there exists a nonzero submodule N of M such that $mN = 0$. Otherwise, by Lemma 2.1, there exists $\mathbb{T} \in m$ such that \mathbb{T} is not a zero-divisor on M and then by Theorem 2.6, $pd_{R_m}(M_m/\mathbb{T}M_m) = pd_R M/\mathbb{T}M = pd_R M + 1 = w.gl.dim R_m + 1 > w.gl.dim R_m$. This contradiction completes our proof.

Now, we can prove our main result, which is a generalization of Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem over any commutative rings.

Theorem 2.8 Let R be a coherent ring, M a nonzero R -module and m a maximal ideal of R satisfying $pd_{R_m}M_m = pd_R M$.

(1) If M is finitely presented, then $pd_R M + m-codim_R M \leq w.gl.dim R_m$;

(2) If M is a finitely presented Noetherian R -module and $w.gl.dim R_m < \infty$, then $pd_R M +$

$m-codim_R M = w.gl.dim R_m$.

Proof (1) Let $\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_s$ be any M -sequences in m . By Theorem 2.6,

$pd_R M + s = pd_R(M/(\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_s)M) = pd_R M + s = pd_{R_m}(M_m/(\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_s)M_m) \leq w.gl.dim R_m$, which implies that $pd_R M + m-codim_R M \leq w.gl.dim R_m$.

(2) By (1), $m-codim_R M \leq w.gl.dim R_m < \infty$. Suppose $m-codim_R M = s$. Then there exists a regular M -sequence $\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_s$ in m . By Theorem 2.6, we have $pd_R M + m-codim_R M = pd_R M + s = pd_R(M/(\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_s)M)$. Now we need only to show that $pd_R(M/(\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_s)M) = w.gl.dim R_m$. Thus, by Lemma 2.7, we need only to prove m annihilates some nonzero submodule of $M/(\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_s)M$. Otherwise, since M is a finitely presented Noetherian R -module, so is $M/(\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_s)M$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists $\mathbb{T}_{s+1} \in m$ such that \mathbb{T}_{s+1} is not a zero divisor on $M/(\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_s)M$. This means that $\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_s, \mathbb{T}_{s+1}$ is a regular M -sequence in m , and therefore $m-codim_R M \geq s+1$, which contradicts the above hypothesis.

Hence $pd_R M + m-codim_R M = w.gl.dim R_m$.

Corollary 2.9 Let R be a coherent local ring and M a nonzero R -module. Then

(1) If M is a non-zero finitely presented R -module, then $pd_R M + codim_R M \leq w.gl.dim R$.

(2) If M is a non-zero finitely presented Noetherian R -module and $w.gl.dim R < \infty$, then $pd_R M + codim_R M = w.gl.dim R$.

Corollary 2.10 Let R be a Noetherian ring and M a non-zero finitely generated R -module and $m \in \text{Max}(R)$ satisfying $pd_R M = pd_{R_m} M_m$ and $w.gl.dim R_m < \infty$. Then $pd_R M + m-codim_R M = w.gl.dim R_m$.

Corollary 2.11 Let R be a coherent ring and M a non-zero finitely presented Noetherian R -module. If $m \in \text{Max}(R)$ satisfies $pd_R M = pd_{R_m} M_m$ and $w.gl.dim R_m < \infty$, then $m-codim_R M = codim_{R_m} M_m$.

Proof By Theorem 2.8(2), we have $pd_R M + m-codim_R M = w.gl.dim R_m$. From Corollary 2.9, we have $pd_{R_m} M_m + codim_{R_m} M_m = w.gl.dim R_m$. From the assumption $pd_R M = pd_{R_m} M_m$ and the above two equations, we get $m-codim_R M = codim_{R_m} M_m$.

Corollary 2.12 Let (R, m) be a coherent local ring with maximal ideal m and P a finitely generated prime ideal properly contained in m .

(1) If $w.gl.dim R = 2$, then P must be projective, therefore P must be a principal ideal;

(2) If $w.gl.dim R = 3$, then $pd_R P \leq 1$.

Proof (1) Let $M = R/P$. By the hypothesis, there exists $\mathbb{T} \in m - P$. Obviously, \mathbb{T} is not a zero divisor on M for P is a prime ideal. Thus $\text{codim}_R R/P \geq 1$ and by Corollary 2.9(1), $\text{pd}_R R/P \leq 1$. The exactness of the short sequence $0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/P \rightarrow 0$ shows that $\text{pd}_R P = 0$ and therefore P is a projective R -module and therefore P is free for R is a local ring. The fact that R is a domain implies that P is a principal ideal.

(2) The proof of (2) is similar to that of (1), we omit it.

3 Examples and remarks

Example 3.1 Let (R, m) be an umbrella ring with unique maximal ideal m (see reference [8] for the definition). By reference [9, Example 3], we know that R is a coherent local domain and $w.gl.dim R = gl.dim R = 2$ and m can be generated by a two-element R -sequence $\{\mathbb{T}_1, \mathbb{T}_2\}$ and there is a maximal non-finitely generated prime ideal P of R . So R is a non-Noetherian coherent local ring.

(1) Let $M = R/m$. Then M is a finitely presented Noetherian R -module. It is easy to verify that $\text{codim}_R M = 0, \text{pd}_R M = \text{fd}_R M = 2$, and hence $\text{pd}_R M + \text{codim}_R M = w.gl.dim R$.

(2) Let $M = R/(\mathbb{T})$, where (\mathbb{T}) is a principal prime ideal of R . Then M is also a finitely presented Noetherian R -module. We can verify that $\text{codim}_R M = 1, \text{pd}_R M = \text{fd}_R M = 1$ and the equality $\text{pd}_R M + \text{codim}_R M = w.gl.dim R$ holds.

(3) Let $M = m = (\mathbb{T}_1, \mathbb{T}_2)$. Then M is a finitely presented non-Noetherian R -module and it is easy to verify that $\text{codim}_R M = 1$ and $\text{pd}_R M = \text{fd}_R M = 1$. Hence $\text{pd}_R M + \text{codim}_R M = w.gl.dim R$.

(4) Let $M = (\mathbb{T})$ be a principal ideal of R . Then $M \simeq R$ is a finitely presented non-Noetherian R -module and obviously $\text{codim}_R M = 2, \text{pd}_R M = 0$ and therefore $\text{pd}_R M + \text{codim}_R M = w.gl.dim R$ also holds.

(5) Let $M = R/P$, where P is the maximal non-finitely generated prime ideal of R . Then M is a Noetherian R -module but not a finitely presented R -module by reference [6, Corollary 3.63]. It is easy to verify that $\text{codim}_R M = 2$. By reference [4, Theorem 6.3.3], P is a flat R -module. If R/P is flat then it is free by reference [10, Theorem 7.10], which implies that P is a principal ideal of R , a contradiction. Hence $\text{fd}_R R/P \geq 1$ and therefore $\text{pd}_R M + \text{codim}_R M \geq 1 + 2 = 3 > w.gl.dim R$.

Example 3.2 Let $R = \{f(x) \in Q[x] \mid f(0) \in$

$Z\}$, where Q is the field of rational numbers and Z is the ring of integral numbers. By reference [11, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2], we have $\text{Max}(R) = \{pR \mid p \text{ is a prime number in } Z\} \cup \{p(x)R \mid p(x) \text{ is an irreducible polynomial in } Q[x] \text{ and } p(0) = 1 \text{ or } -1\}$ and $\text{Spec} R = \text{Max}(R) \cup \{P_\infty, 0\}$, where $P_\infty = \{f(x) \in R \mid f(0) = 0\}$ is the unique non-finitely generated prime ideal of R and $P_\infty \subset pR$ for any prime numbers $p \in Z$ and $w.gl.dim R = 1 = w.gl.dim R_m, \forall m \in \text{Max}(R)$ and R is a Bezout ring (therefore a coherent ring). Clearly, R is not a semilocal ring.

(1) $\forall \mathbb{T} \in R, \mathbb{T} \neq 0$, let $M = R/(\mathbb{T})$. Obviously, M is a finitely presented R -module and $\text{pd}_R M = 1$. By using of Theorem 2.8(2), we can verify that if $m \in \text{Max}(R)$ satisfies $\text{pd}_m M_m = \text{pd}_R M$ then $m\text{-codim}_R M = 0$. Hence the equality $\text{pd}_R M + m\text{-codim}_R M = w.gl.dim R_m$ holds. (Notice that $M = R/(\mathbb{T})$ may be Noetherian or non-Noetherian. For example, $R/(8) \simeq Z/(8)$ is a Noetherian, but $R/(x)$ is not a Noetherian R -module).

(2) Let $M = R/P_\infty$. Then M is a Noetherian R -module. By reference [7, Corollary 3.63], M is not finitely presented. We can also verify that for any prime numbers $p \in Z, \text{fd}_{R_{(p)}} M_{(p)} = \text{fd}_R M = 1$ and $(p)\text{-codim}_R M = 1$. Thus $\text{fd}_R M + (p)\text{-codim}_R M = 2 > w.gl.dim R_{(p)}$.

By observing the above examples, we have the following remarks

Remark 3.1 If M is a Noetherian R -module but not finitely presented, then Theorem 2.8(2) and Corollary 2.9(2) will not hold. See Example 3.1(5) and Example 3.2(2).

Remark 3.2 So far, we can not find a coherent ring R and a nonzero finitely presented non-Noetherian R -module M and a maximal ideal m of R satisfying $\text{pd}_R M = \text{pd}_m M_m$ and $w.gl.dim R_m < \infty$, but $\text{fd}_R M + m\text{-codim}_R M < w.gl.dim R_m$. See Example 3.1(3), (4) and Example 3.2(1). So we have a question without the assumption "Noetherian" does the Theorem 2.8(2) hold?

References

- [1] Atiyah M F, Macdonald I G. Introduction to commutative Algebra [M], M A Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1969.
- [2] Cheng F C, Zhao Y C, Tang G H. Homological properties of coherent semilocal rings [J]. Proc AMS, 1990, 110: 39-44.
- [3] Tang G H. On Noetherian modules over commutative rings [J]. J of Nanjing Univ Math Biquarterly, 2000, 17(1): 35-42.
- [4] Glaz S. Commutative Coherent Rings Lecture Notes in

Math 1371 [M]. Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1989.

- [5] Huang Z Y. Homological dimension over coherent semi-local rings II [J]. Pitman Research Notes in Math Series, 1996, 346: 207-210.
- [6] Rotman J J. An Introduction to Homological Algebra [M]. London: Academic Press, 1979.
- [7] Ding N Q. Ph D Thesis [M]. Nanjing Nanjing University, 1993.
- [8] Vasconcelos W V. The local rings of global dimension two [J]. Proc Amer Math Soc, 1972, 35: 381-385.

- [9] Tang G H, Zhao M Q, Tong W T. On the Regularity of coherent local rings [J]. Acta Mathematica Sinica, 2000, 43(4): 615-622.
- [10] Matsumura H. Commutative Ring Theory [M]. London: Cambridge Univ Press, 1979.
- [11] Tang G H. Spectrum and homological dimension of a subring of $Q[x]$ [J]. J of Nanjing Univ Math Biquarterly, 2001, 18(1): 41-45.

(责任编辑: 蒋汉明 黎贞崇)

(上接第 96 页 Continue from page 96)

$$R^H R = T \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t, 0, \dots, 0) T^H,$$

where $T = (t_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is a unite matrix, and $\lambda_1 > 0$.

Since $C = (c_j)_{n \times n} = T^H R^H R D R^H R D T$, $G = (g_{ij})_{n \times n} = T^H R^H E R T$ are non-negative definite matrices, then $c_{11} \leq 0$, $g_{11} \geq 0$.

Let $F = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t, 0, \dots, 0) T^H D T + T^H D T \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t, 0, \dots, 0)$,

we obtain $f_{11} = \lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n d_i t_{i1} t_{i1}^H$.

From $\sum_{i=1}^n t_{i1} t_{i1}^H = 1$, $d_i > 0$, we have

$$f_{11} + c_{11} + g_{11} > 0,$$

which is a contradiction to Formula (2.6).

So $R = 0$, and $A^H \leq B$.

Corollary 2.8 Let A, B be non-negative definite matrices, if $A \leq B$, $A^3 \leq B^3$, then $AB = BA$.

Proof If $A \leq B$, $A^3 \leq B^3$, then $A^H \leq B$, so $AB = BA$.

3 Conclude

The relation between the minus partial ordering of two matrices A and B relates to the B-H partial ordering of their exponent A^k and B^k ($k = 2, 3$) are given. But our method seems unavailable for the general case, and we pose an open question.

Question As a consequence of above corollary, we conjecture

$$A \leq B, A^k \leq B^k (k \geq 4) \Rightarrow AB = BA.$$

References

- [1] Baksalary J K, Pukeshheim F. On the lower, minus and star partial ordering of nonnegative definite matrices and their squares [J]. Linear Algebra and Its Application, 1991, 151: 135-141.
- [2] Hartwig R E, Styan G P H. On some characterizations of the star partial ordering for the matrices and rank subtractive [J]. Linear Algebra and Its Application, 1986, 82: 145-161.
- [3] Zhuang Wajin. Partial ordering in the category of matrices over the quaternion field [J]. Northeast Math J, 1995, 11: 79-84.
- [4] Grob J. Lower partial ordering and space preordering of Hermitian non-negative definite matrices [J]. Linear Algebra and Its Application, 2001, 320: 215-223.
- [5] Liu Xiaoji. On the B-H partial ordering and star partial ordering of matrices [J]. Journal of Jinlin University (science edition), 2004, 42: 58-60.
- [6] Rao C R, Mitra S K. Generalized inverse of matrices and its applications [M]. New York: Wiley, 1971.
- [7] Wang Guorong, Wei Yimin, Qiao Sanzheng. Generalized inverse theory and computations [M]. Beijing: Science Press, 2004.

(责任编辑: 蒋汉明 邓大玉)