

# On $s$ -Completion of Maximal Subgroups of Finite Groups\*

## 有限群的极大子群 $s$ -完备

TANG Zeng-lin<sup>1</sup>, LI Shi-rong<sup>2</sup>

唐曾林<sup>1</sup>,李世荣<sup>2</sup>

(1. Department of Mathematics, Hunan University of Arts and Sciences, Changde, Hunan, 415000, China; 2. Department of Mathematics, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi, 530004, China)

(1.湖南文理学院,湖南常德 415000; 2.广西大学数学与信息科学学院,广西南宁 530004)

**Abstract** Let  $G$  be a group, denote  $M_H(G) = \{M \mid M \text{ is a maximal subgroup of } G \text{ such that } H \not\triangleleft M\}$  where  $H$  is a given normal subgroup of  $G$ . We investigated the properties of  $s$ -completion by the set  $M_H(G)$ , and obtained some new conditions for the solvability of finite groups.

**Key words** finite groups, solvable group,  $s$ -completion

摘要: 利用集合  $M_H(G) = \{M \mid M \text{ 是不包含 } H \text{ 的 } G \text{ 之极大子群}\}$  研究有限群  $G$  的极大子群  $s$ -完备的性质, 得到有限群  $G$  可解的一些新判据.

关键词: 有限群 可解群  $s$ -完备

中图法分类号: O152.1 文献标识码: A 文章编号: 1005-9164(2008)04-0334-04

Given a maximal subgroup  $M$  of group  $G$ , a completion  $C$  of  $M$  in  $G$  is a subgroup such that  $C \not\subseteq M$  while  $H \subseteq M$  whenever  $H < C$  and  $H \triangleleft G$ . A completion  $C$  of  $M$  is called maximal if  $M$  has no any completion which contains  $C$  properly.  $K(C)$  denotes the group generated by all proper subgroups of  $C$  which are normal in  $G$ , then  $K(C) < C$  and  $K(C) \triangleleft G$ .

In reference [1], Deskins introduced the concept of completions for a maximal subgroup of a finite group. In reference [2], Deskins showed that a group  $G$  is solvable if and only if for every maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  has a maximal completion  $C$  such that  $C/K(C)$  is nilpotent with Sylow 2-subgroups of

class at most 2. Deskins conjectured that a group  $G$  is supersolvable if and only if every maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  has a maximal completion  $C$  such that  $CM = G$  and  $C/K(C)$  is cyclic. In fact, Bollester-Bolinches and Ezquerro<sup>[3]</sup> pointed out that the conjecture is false. Later, Zhao<sup>[4]</sup> proved that the group which satisfies the conditions in Deskins' conjecture is supersolvable or has a homomorphic image isomorphic to  $S_4$ . In reference [5], Li got a complete characterization of supersolvable groups by means of maximal completions. In reference [6], Li and Zhao have further weakened the condition of maximal completion by defining  $s$ -completions.

In this paper, we investigated the properties of  $s$ -completion by the set  $M_H(G)$ , and obtained some new conditions for the solvability of finite groups, where  $M_H(G) = \{M \mid M \text{ is a maximal subgroup of } G \text{ such that } H \not\triangleleft M\}$ .

Throughout this paper, all groups are finite groups. Our terminologies and notations are

standard, see reference [7] and reference [8].

## 1 Definitions and lemmas

**Definition 1.1**<sup>[6]</sup> Given a maximal subgroup  $M$  of group  $G$ , a completion  $C$  of  $M$  is called an  $s$ -completion if either  $C = G$  or there exists a subgroup  $D$  of  $G$ , which is not a completion of  $M$ , such that  $D$  contains  $C$  as a maximal subgroup.

A maximal completion must be an  $s$ -completion. The examples given in reference [6] show that the converse is not true in general.

**Example 1.1** Take  $G = \text{Aut}(\text{PSL}(2, 25)) = [\text{PGL}(2, 25)]Z_2$ , the semidirect product of  $\text{PGL}(2, 25)$  by the cyclic group  $Z_2$  of order  $2^{\text{[8]}}$ . Write  $G^1 = \text{PGL}(2, 25)$  and  $G^2 = \text{PSL}(2, 25)$ . Then  $G$  has a unique chief series  $G \triangleright G^1 \triangleright G^2 \triangleright 1$ . The group  $G^2$  has maximal subgroups  $D_{24}$  and  $D_{26}$ , the dihedral groups of order 24 and 26, respectively. Furthermore,  $G$  has a maximal subgroup  $M = N_G(D_{24})$ . Take  $C = N_G(D_{26})$ , a maximal subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $C$  has no non-trivial  $G$ -invariant subgroup and  $C$  is not contained in  $M$ , it is a completion of  $M$ . Furthermore,  $C$  is an  $s$ -completion of  $M$  because  $G^1$  is not a completion of  $M$ . We see that  $C$  is not a maximal completion of  $M$  since  $N_G(D_{26})$  is also a completion of  $M$  and contains  $C$  properly.

**Example 1.2** Let  $G = S_4 \times Z_2$ . Take  $M = S_3 \times Z_2$ , which is a maximal subgroup of  $G$ , and take a cyclic subgroup  $C$  of  $G$  with order 4 contained in  $S_4$ , then  $C$  is an  $s$ -completion of  $M$  but not a maximal completion of  $M$ .

**Definition 1.2**  $M_H(G) = \{M \mid M \text{ is a maximal subgroup of } G \text{ such that } H \not\trianglelefteq M\}$ , where  $H$  is a given normal subgroup of  $G$ .  $D(G) = \{M < G \mid G : M \text{ is a composite number}\}$ .

**Lemma 1.1**<sup>[6]</sup> Let  $F$  be a formation and  $G$  be a group. If  $G \notin F$  then there exists a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  such that  $G/N \in b(F)$ , the  $Q$ -boundary of  $F$ , i.e.,  $G/N \in F$  but every proper homomorphic image of  $G/N$  belongs to  $F$ . Furthermore,  $G/N$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup.

**Lemma 1.2**<sup>[6]</sup> Let  $G$  be a group and  $M$  be a maximal subgroup of  $G$ . Assume that  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $M$  such that  $G/N$  has a

unique minimal normal subgroup  $U/N$  with  $U \not\trianglelefteq M$ . Furthermore, assume that  $C$  is an  $s$ -completion of  $M$  such that  $C/K(C) \in F$ , where  $F$  is a subgroup-closed homomorph, but  $U/N \notin F$ . Write  $C^* = NC$ . Then  $C^*$  is an  $s$ -completion of  $M$  in  $G$  satisfying

$$(1) C^* \in K(C^*) \text{ in } F \text{ and } N = k(C^*);$$

(2)  $C^*$  is a maximal subgroup of the group  $C^*U$ .

## 2 Main results

**Theorem 2.1** Suppose  $G$  is a finite group,  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . If for every non-nilpotent maximal subgroup  $M \in M_H(G) \cap D(G)$ , there exists an  $s$ -completion  $C$  of  $M$  such that  $C/K(C)$  is nilpotent with Sylow 2-subgroups of class at most 2, then  $H$  is solvable.

**Proof** Assume the result is not true, and let  $G$  be a counterexample. Since the class of all solvable groups is a saturated formation, by lemma 1.1, there exists a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  such that  $G/N$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $U/N$  (so  $U/N \leq H/N$ ), which is insolvable. Then  $U/N$  is a non-abelian characteristically simple group. In particular,  $U/N$  has no non-trivial normal  $p$ -subgroup for any prime  $p$ . We claim that  $G$  has a non-nilpotent maximal subgroup  $M$  of composite index such that  $N \subseteq M$ , but  $U \not\trianglelefteq M$ . For this, let  $q$  be the largest prime factor dividing  $|U/N|$ , and  $Q/N \in \text{Syl}_q(U/N)$ , then  $Q/N$  is not normal in  $G/N$  and hence  $N_{G/N}(Q/N) < G/N$ . So there exists a maximal subgroup of  $G/N$  denote  $M/N$  such that  $N_{G/N}(Q/N) < M/N$ . This implies that  $M$  contains  $N_G(Z(J(Q)))$  and  $N$ . By the Frattini argument,  $G = N_G(Z(J(Q)))U = MU$ , so  $U \not\trianglelefteq M$ . Observe that  $|G : M| = |U : (M \cap U)| \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ , so  $|G : M|$  must be composite. If  $M$  is nilpotent then, as a subgroup of  $M, N_G(Z(J(Q)))$  is also nilpotent. Note that  $q$  is odd, the Glauberman-Thompson Theorem asserts that  $U$  is  $q$ -nilpotent, contrary to the fact that  $U/N$  is a non-abelian characteristically simple group. Hence,  $M \in D(G)$ . Clearly,  $H \not\trianglelefteq M$ , so  $M \in M_H(G) \cap D(G)$ . By the hypothesis,  $M$  has an  $s$ -completion  $C$  such that  $C/K(C)$  is nilpotent with Sylow 2-subgroups of class at most 2. Of course, the class of all nilpotent groups

with Sylow 2-subgroups of class at most 2 is subgroup-closed homomorph, and  $U/N$  does not belong to this class. By lemma 1. 2, there exists an  $s$ -completion  $C$  of  $M$  such that  $N = K(C)$  and  $C$  is a maximal subgroup of  $UC$ . Now  $UC/N = U/N \cdot C/N$  and  $C/N = C/K(C)$  is a nilpotent maximal subgroup of  $UC/N$  with sylow 2-subgroups of class at most 2. By the Deskins-Janko-Thompson Theorem<sup>[7]</sup>,  $UC/N$  must be solvable, so  $U/N$  is solvable, which is a contradiction. The proof of the theorem 2. 1 is now complete.

**Corollary 2. 1**<sup>[6]</sup> A group  $G$  is solvable if and only if for every non-nilpotent maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  of composite index, there exists an  $s$ -completion  $C$  of  $M$  such that  $C/K(C)$  is nilpotent with Sylow 2-subgroups of class at most 2.

**Proof** Set  $H = G$ , so  $M_H(G) \cap D(G) = D(G)$ , by theorem 2. 1, the sufficiency part is hold, and the necessity part of the corollary is obvious.

**Corollary 2. 2** A group  $G$  is solvable if and only if for every non-nilpotent maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  of composite index, there exists a maximal completion  $C$  of  $M$  such that  $C/K(C)$  is nilpotent, with Sylow 2-subgroups of class at most 2.

**Proof** Since a maximal completion is an  $s$ -completion, by corollary 2. 1, the conclusion holds.

From the definition of Deskins' completions, we see that a completion of maximal subgroup  $M$  may be a conjugation of  $M$ .

**Theorem 2. 2** Suppose  $G$  is a finite group,  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . If for every  $M \in M_H(G) \cap D(G)$ , there exists an  $s$ -completion  $C$  of  $M$  such that  $C/K(C)$  is nilpotent and  $C^x \not\subseteq M$  for any  $x \in G$ , then  $H$  is solvable.

**Proof** Assume the result is false and let group  $G$  be a counterexample. As in the proof of theorem 2. 1, there exists a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  such that  $G/N$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $U/N$  (so  $U/N \leq H/N$ ) which is insolvable, and  $G$  has a maximal subgroup  $M$  of composite index such that  $N \leq M$  but  $U \not\subseteq M$ . So  $M \in M_H(G) \cap D(G)$ . By lemma 1. 2, we may choose an  $s$ -completion  $C$  of  $M$  such that  $C/K(C) = C/N$  is nilpotent,  $C^x \not\subseteq M$  for any  $x \in G$ , and  $C$  is a maximal subgroup of  $UC$ .

Consider the group  $E/N = U/N \cdot C/N$ . Since  $C/N$  is a nilpotent maximal subgroup of  $E/N$ , by a theorem of Rose<sup>[9]</sup>,  $K/N$  is normal in  $E/N$ , where  $K/N$  is the normal 2-complement of  $C/N$ . But  $U/N$  has non-trivial solvable normal subgroup, so  $K/N$  must be the identity group. Consequently,  $C/N$  is a 2-group and hence be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $E/N$ .

Write  $T = C \cap U$ , then  $N \leq T$  and  $T/N$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $U/N$ . By the Feit-Thompson Theorem on groups of odd order,  $T/N \neq 1$  and  $T$  is non-normal in  $U$ . Applying the Frattini argument, we have  $G = N_G(T)U = M^*U$ , where  $M^*$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N_G(T)$ . It is obviously that  $|G : M^*|$  is an odd number and  $C \leq M^*$ , also  $M^* \cap U \not\subseteq M^*$  and  $C \leq E \cap M^*$  but  $E \not\subseteq M^*$ . We see that  $E \cap M^* = C$  since  $C$  is maximal in  $E$ . It follows that  $C(U \cap M^*) = CU \cap M^* = E \cap M^* = C$ , so  $(M^* \cap U)/N$  is a 2-group. Therefore  $|G : M^*| = |U : (M^* \cap U)|$  can not be a prime, otherwise  $U/N$  would be solvable by the Burnside  $(p, q)$ -Theorem, a contradiction.

Now  $M^*$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$  with composite index and  $N \subseteq M^*$  but  $U \not\subseteq M^*$ . By hypothesis,  $M^*$  has an  $s$ -completion  $C^*$  such that  $C^*/K(C^*)$  is nilpotent and  $(C^*)^x \not\subseteq M^*$  for any  $x \in G$ . Replacing  $M$  by  $M^*$ , we have  $N = K(C^*)$  and  $C^*/N$  is a 2-subgroup of  $G/N$ . Since  $M^*/N$  contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G/N$ , by the Sylow Theorem, there exists an element  $x$  in  $G$  such that  $(C^*)^x \leq M^*$ , which is final contradiction. Thus, the proof is complete.

**Corollary 2. 3**<sup>[6]</sup> A group  $G$  is solvable if and only if for every maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  with composite index, there exists an  $s$ -completion  $C$  of  $M$  such that  $C/K(C)$  is nilpotent and  $C^x \not\subseteq M$  for any  $x \in G$ .

**Corollary 2. 4** A group  $G$  is solvable if and only if for every maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  with composite index, there exists a maximal completion  $C$  of  $M$  such that  $C/K(C)$  is nilpotent and  $C^x \not\subseteq M$  for any  $x \in G$ .

**Theorem 2. 3** Suppose  $G$  is a finite group,  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . If for every normal maximal subgroup  $M \in M_H(G) \cap D(G)$ , there exists a normal  $s$ -completion  $C$  such that  $C/K(C)$  is solvable, then

$H$  is solvable.

**Proof** Assume the result is false and let group  $G$  be a counterexample. As in the proof of theorem 2.1, there exists a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  such that  $G/N$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $U/N$  (so  $U/N \leq H/N$ ) which is insoluble. Set  $q$  be the largest prime factor dividing  $|U/N|$  and  $Q/N \in \text{Syl}_q(U/N)$ . So  $Q$  is not normal in  $U$  and we can choose a maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  to contain  $N_G(Q)$  and  $N$ .

By the Frattini argument,  $G = N_G(Q)U = MU$ , so  $U \not\subseteq M$ . Observe that  $|G:M| = |U:(M \cap U)| \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ , hence  $|G:M|$  is composite. So  $M \in \mathcal{M}^H(G) \cap D(G)$ , by the hypothesis, there exists a normal  $s$ -completion  $C$  of  $M$  such that  $C/K(C)$  is solvable. By lemma 2.2, we may choose an  $s$ -completion  $C^* = CN$  of  $M$  such that  $C^*/K(C^*) = C^*/N$  is solvable, and  $C^*$  is a maximal subgroup of  $UC^*$ .

Consider the group  $E/N = U/N \cdot C^*/N$ . Since  $C^*/N$  is a normal solvable maximal subgroup of  $E/N$ , thus  $E/C$  is solvable, consequently  $U/N$  is solvable, a contradiction. So the proof is complete.

**Corollary 2.5** Suppose  $G$  is a finite group, if for every normal maximal subgroup  $M \in D(G)$ , there exists a normal  $s$ -completion  $C$  such that  $C/K(C)$  is solvable, then  $G$  is solvable.

**Corollary 2.6** Suppose  $G$  is a finite group, if for every normal maximal subgroup  $M \in D(G)$ , there

exists a normal maximal completion  $C$  such that  $C/K(C)$  is solvable, then  $G$  is solvable.

### References

- [1] Deskin W E. On maximal subgroups[J]. Amer Math Soc, 1959, 1: 100-104.
- [2] Deskin W E. A note on the index complex of a maximal subgroup[J]. Arch Math (Basel), 1990, 54: 236-240.
- [3] Ballester-Bolincees A, Ezquerro L E. On the index complex of a maximal subgroup of a finite group[J]. Proc Amer Math Soc, 1992, 114: 325-330.
- [4] Zhao Yaoqing. On the index complex of a maximal subgroup and the supersolvability of a finite group[J]. Comm Algebra, 1996, 24: 1785-1791.
- [5] Li Shirong. The Deskins index complex and the supersolvability of finite groups [J]. J pure Appl Algebra, 1999, 144: 297-302.
- [6] Li Shirong, Zhao Yaoqing. On  $s$ -completions of maximal subgroups of finite groups [J]. Algebra Collogmum, 2004, 11: 411-420.
- [7] Huppert B. Endliche gruppen I[M]. Berlin Springer, 1976.
- [8] Gorenstein D. Finite group[M]. New York: Chelsea, 1980.
- [9] Rose J.S. On finite insoluble groups with nilpotent maximal subgroups[J]. J Algebra, 1977, 48: 182-196.

(责任编辑: 尹 闯)

(上接第 333 页 Continue from page 333)

### 参考文献:

- [1] Deskins W E. On maximal subgroups[J]. Proc Symp Pure Math, 1959, 1: 100-104.
- [2] Wang Yanming.  $C$ -normality of groups and its properties[J]. Journal of Algebra, 1996, 180: 954-965.
- [3] Li Shirong, Wang Yanming. On  $C$ -section and  $C$ -index of finite groups [J]. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 2000, 151: 309-319.
- [4] 徐明曜, 李慧陵, 李世荣, 等. 有限群导引 (上, 下) [M]. 北京: 科学出版社, 1999.
- [5] Petter M R. A note on finite groups having a fixed-

point-free automorphism [J]. Proc Amer Math Soc, 1975, 52: 79-80.

- [6] 王品超, 杨兆兴. 有限群极大子群的正规指数 [J]. 工程数学学报, 1994, 11(1): 42-48.
- [7] Zhao Yaoqing. On the deskins completions and theta completions for maximal subgroups [J]. Communications in Algebra, 2000, 28(1): 375-385.
- [8] Gorenstein D. Finite groups[M]. New York: Chelsea, 1980.

(责任编辑: 尹 闯)