

# Complete Convergence and Strong Convergence for Weighted Sums of $\rho^-$ -Mixing Random Sequences\*

## $\rho^-$ -混合序列加权求和的完全收敛性和强收敛性

YAN Han, WU Qun-ying, MENG Bing

鄢 寒, 吴群英, 孟 兵

(Department of Mathematics and Physics, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin, Guangxi, 541004, China)

(桂林工学院数理系, 广西桂林 541004)

**Abstract** Some sufficient conditions of the complete convergence and strong convergence for weighted sums of  $\rho^-$ -mixing random sequences are established. The results obtained extend the theorems of Stout and Thrum.

**Key words**  $\rho^-$ -mixing random sequences, weighted sums, complete convergence, strong convergence

摘要: 给出  $\rho^-$ -混合序列加权求和完全收敛和强收敛的充分条件, 所得结果推广了 Stout 和 Thrum 定理.

关键词:  $\rho^-$ -混合序列 加权求和 完全收敛 强收敛

中图分类号: O211.4 文献标识码: A 文章编号: 1005-9164(2009)01-0038-03

Following the introductory concept of  $\rho^-$ -mixing random variables in 1999<sup>[1]</sup>, Zhang got moment inequalities of partial sums, central limit theorems, complete convergence and the strong law of large numbers<sup>[1-3]</sup>. Since  $\rho^-$ -mixing random variables include NA and  $\rho^-$ -mixing random variables, which have a lot of applications, their limit properties have aroused wide interest recently. In this paper, we obtain some sufficient conditions of the complete convergence and strong convergence for weighted sums of  $\rho^-$ -mixing random sequences. The results obtained extend the theorem of Stout<sup>[4]</sup> and Thrum<sup>[5]</sup>.

### 1 Definitions and lemma

**Definition 1. 1**<sup>[6]</sup> A sequence  $\{X_k; k \in N\}$  is called negatively associated (NA) if for every pair of

disjoint subsets  $S, T$  of  $N$ ,  $\text{Cov}\{f(X_i; i \in S), g(X_j; j \in T)\} \leq 0$ , where  $f, g \in \square$ ,  $\square$  is a class of functions which are coordinatewise increasing.

**Definition 1. 2**<sup>[1]</sup> A sequence  $\{X_k; k \in N\}$  is called  $\rho^-$ -mixing if  $\rho^-(s) = \sup\{\rho^-(S, T); S, T \subset N, \text{dist}(S, T) \geq s\} \rightarrow 0 (s \rightarrow \infty)$ , where  $\rho^-(S, T) = \sup\{|E(f - Ef)(g - Eg)| / (\|f - Ef\|_2 \|g - Eg\|_2)\}; f \in L_2(\mathcal{E}(S)), g \in L_2(\mathcal{E}(T))\}$ .

**Definition 1. 3**<sup>[1]</sup> A sequence  $\{X_k; k \in N\}$  is called  $\rho^-$ -mixing if  $\rho^-(s) = \sup\{\rho^-(S, T); S, T \subset N, \text{dist}(S, T) \geq s\} \rightarrow 0 (s \rightarrow \infty)$ , where  $\rho^-(S, T) = \sup\left\{\frac{\text{Cov}\{f(X_i; i \in S), g(X_j; j \in T)\}}{\sqrt{\text{Var}\{f(X_i; i \in S)\}\text{Var}\{g(X_j; j \in T)\}}}; f, g \in \square\right\}$ .

It is easy to see that  $\{X_k; k \in N\}$  is negatively associated if and only if  $\rho^-(s) = 0$ , for  $s \geq 1$ . It is obvious that  $\rho^-(s) \leq \rho^-(s)$ , so  $\rho^-$ -mixing is weaker than  $\rho^-$ -mixing.

**Property 1. 1**<sup>[1]</sup> A subset of a  $\rho^-$ -mixing sequences  $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  with mixing coefficients  $\rho^-(s)$  is also  $\rho^-$ -mixing with coefficients not greater than  $\rho^-(s)$ .

收稿日期: 2008-06-03

作者简介: 鄢 寒 (1985-), 男, 硕士研究生, 主要从事概率统计研究.

\* Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10661006) and the Support Program of the New Century Guangxi Ten-thousand Talents Project (2005214).

**Property 1.**  $\mathcal{D}^{[1]}$  Increasing functions defined on disjoint subsets of a  $\mathcal{D}$ -mixing sequences  $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  with mixing coefficients  $\mathcal{D}(s)$  are also  $\mathcal{D}$ -mixing with coefficients not greater than  $\mathcal{D}(s)$ .

Throughout this paper,  $C$  will represent a positive constant though its value may change from one appearance to another, and  $a \ll b$  will mean  $a \leq Cb$ .

**Lemma 1.**  $\mathcal{D}^{[7]}$  For a positive integer  $N \geq 1$ , positive real numbers  $p \geq 2$  and  $0 < r < (\frac{1}{\phi})^{\frac{p}{2}}$ , if  $\{X_i; i \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of random variables with  $\mathcal{D}(N) \leq r$ ,  $EX_i = 0$  and  $E|X_i|^p < \infty$  for every  $i \geq 1$ , then for all  $n \geq 1$ , there is a positive constant  $C = C(p, N, r)$  such that

$$E(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j|^p) \leq C \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_i|^p + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^2 \right)^{p/2} \right\},$$

where  $S_j = \sum_{i=1}^j X_i$ .

## 2 Main results

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $0 < \mathcal{D} \leq 1$ , and  $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$  be a  $\mathcal{D}$ -mixing sequence of identically distributed random variables with

$$EX_1 = 0, E|X_1|^{2/\Gamma} < \infty. \quad (1)$$

And

$$|a_n| \leq Cn^{-2/\Gamma - W}, n \geq 1, \leq n \text{ and } 0 < W < \Gamma/2, |a_n| = 0, i > n. \quad (2)$$

And there exists a constant  $\theta > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \leq Cn^{-\theta}, \text{ for all } n. \quad (3)$$

Then

$$T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0, n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4)$$

**Proof** Let

$$X_{ni} = -n^{-W/2} I(a_i X_i < -n^{-W/2}) + X_i I(|a_i X_i| \leq n^{-W/2}) + n^{-W/2} I(a_i X_i > n^{-W/2}),$$

then

$$T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_i - X_{ni}) + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_{ni} - EX_{ni}) + E \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_{ni} = T_{n1} + T_{n2} + T_{n3}.$$

Therefore, in order to prove the Theorem 2.1, we have to prove only that  $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0, n \rightarrow \infty, i = 1, 2, 3$ .

By formula (1) and formula (2), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_i \neq X_{ni}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|a_i X_i| > n^{-W/2}) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{W/\Gamma} E|a_i X_i|^{2/\Gamma} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-W/\Gamma} < \infty.$$

Thus, according to the Borel-Cantelli lemma,  $T_{n1} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

By Property 1.1 and Property 1.2,  $\{X_i - EX_{ni}, i \geq 1\}$  is also a  $\mathcal{D}$ -mixing random sequence and satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1.1.

Let  $q > \max\{2/\Gamma, 2\theta, (2\Gamma - 2W)/(\Gamma)\}$ , by Lemma 1.1, Markov inequality, Chebyshev inequality and formula (1)~(3),

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_{ni} - EX_{ni})\right| > X\right) &\ll E\left|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_{ni} - EX_{ni})\right|^q \ll \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_i (X_{ni} - EX_{ni})|^q + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E(a_i X_{ni} - a_i EX_{ni})^2\right)^{q/2} \ll \\ &\sum_{i=1}^n E|a_i X_i|^q I(|a_i X_i| \leq n^{-W/2}) + \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_i n^{-W/2}|^q \cdot \\ &P(|a_i X_i| > n^{-W/2}) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right)^{q/2} \ll \\ &\sum_{i=1}^n E|a_i X_i|^{2/\Gamma} n^{-Wq - 2/\Gamma} + \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^{q\Gamma} n^{2/\Gamma - Wq/2} E|X_i|^{2/\Gamma} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right)^{q/2} \ll \\ &n^{-Wq/2 - W/\Gamma} + n^{-W/\Gamma - 3Wq/2 - \Gamma q/2} + n^{-\theta q/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By  $q > \max\{2/\Gamma, 2\theta, (2\Gamma - 2W)/(\Gamma)\}$ , we have  $-Wq/2 - W/\Gamma < -1, -W/\Gamma - 3Wq/2 - \Gamma q/2 < -1, -\theta q/2 < -1$ . Therefore, we conclude that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_{ni} - EX_{ni})\right| > X\right) < \infty. \text{ Thus,}$$

$T_{n2} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ . Finally, we prove that  $T_{n3} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ . By formula (1)~(3) and Markov inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{n3}| &= \left|\sum_{i=1}^n E(a_i X_i - a_i X_{ni})\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_i X_i| I(|a_i X_i| > n^{-W/2}) + \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i n^{-W/2}| \cdot \\ P(|a_i X_i| > n^{-W/2}) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_i X_i| \frac{|a_i X_i|^{2/\Gamma - 1}}{n^{-W(2/\Gamma - 1)/2}} + \\ \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| n^{-W/2} \frac{E|a_i X_i|}{n^{-W/2}} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_i X_i|^{2/\Gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

$$n^{W(2/\Gamma - 1)/2} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 E(X_1) \ll n^{-W/\Gamma - W/2} + n^{-\theta} \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , and  $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$  be a  $\alpha$ -mixing sequence of identically distributed random variables with

$$EX_1 = 0, E|X_1|^{2/\alpha} < \infty. \quad (1)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{ni}| &\leq Cn^{-\tau}, \tau \geq 1, \alpha \leq n \text{ and } 0 < W < T/2, \\ |a_{ni}| &= 0, i > n. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

And there exists a constant  $\theta > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}^2 \leq Cn^{-\theta}, \text{ for all } n, \text{ as } 0 < \alpha \leq 1/2 - W. \quad (6)$$

Then

$$T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i \xrightarrow{c} 0, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Proof** Let

$$X_{ni} = -n^{-W} I(a_{ni} X_i < -n^{-W}) + X_i I(|a_{ni} X_i| \leq n^{-W}) + n^{-W} I(a_{ni} X_i > n^{-W}),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i \right| > X \right\} &= \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i \right| > X, \exists i: \alpha \leq n, |a_{ni} X_i| > n^{-W} \right\} \cup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i \right| > X, \exists \forall i: \alpha \leq n, |a_{ni} X_i| \leq n^{-W} \right\} \\ &\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left\{ |a_{ni} X_i| > n^{-W} \right\} \cup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni} \right| > X \right\} = A_n + B_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in order to prove the Theorem 2.2, we have to prove only that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty, \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(B_n) < \infty. \quad (8)$$

By formula (1) and formula (5),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| E \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni} \right| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n E(a_{ni} X_i - a_{ni} X_{ni}) \right| \leq \\ \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni} X_i| I(|a_{ni} X_i| > n^{-W}) &+ \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni} n^{-W}| \cdot \\ P(|a_{ni} X_i| > n^{-W}) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni} X_i|^{2/\alpha} n^{-(2/\alpha-1)W} + \\ \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni} n^{-W}| \frac{E|a_{ni} X_i|^{2/\alpha}}{n^{-2W/\alpha}} &\ll n^{-1-W} + n^{-1-\tau W} \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni} X_i| > n^{-W}) \leq$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n P(|X_1| > cn^{\tau}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} P(cj^{\tau} < |X_1| \leq$$

$$c(j+1)^{\tau}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^j n E I(cj^{\tau} < |X_1| \leq c(j+1)^{\tau}) \ll \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^2 E(|X_1|^{2/\alpha} I(cj^{\tau} < |X_1| \leq c(j+1)^{\tau})) < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E|X_1|^{2/\alpha} I(cj^{\tau} < |X_1| \leq c(j+1)^{\tau}) < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E|X_1|^{2/\alpha} < \infty.$$

$$1)^{\tau}) \ll \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^2 E(|X_1|^{2/\alpha} I(cj^{\tau} < |X_1| \leq c(j+1)^{\tau})) < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E|X_1|^{2/\alpha} < \infty.$$

$$c(j+1)^{\tau}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E|X_1|^{2/\alpha} I(cj^{\tau} < |X_1| \leq c(j+1)^{\tau}) < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E|X_1|^{2/\alpha} < \infty.$$

$$c(j+1)^{\tau}) \ll E|X_1|^{2/\alpha} < \infty.$$

In order to prove formula (8), first we show that

$$E \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni} \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (9)$$

By formula (1), formula (5) and Markov inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| E \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni} \right| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n E(a_{ni} X_i - a_{ni} X_{ni}) \right| \leq \\ \sum_{i=1}^n E(a_{ni} X_i) I(|a_{ni} X_i| > n^{-W}) &+ \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni} n^{-W}| \cdot \\ P(|a_{ni} X_i| > n^{-W}) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni} X_i|^{2/\alpha} n^{-(2/\alpha-1)W} + \\ \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni} n^{-W}| \frac{E|a_{ni} X_i|^{2/\alpha}}{n^{-2W/\alpha}} &\ll n^{-1-W} + \\ n^{-1-\tau W} &\rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we need only to prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{ni} X_i - a_{ni} X_{ni}) \right| > X/2 \right) < \infty, \forall X < 0. \quad (10)$$

Let  $q > \max\{2/\alpha, 2\theta, 1/\tau, W-1/2\}$ , by Lemma 1.1, Markov inequality, Chebyshev inequality, formula (1) and formula (3),

$$\begin{aligned} P\left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} (X_{ni} - EX_{ni}) \right| > X/2 \right) &\ll \\ E \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} (X_{ni} - EX_{ni}) \right|^q &\ll \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni} (X_{ni} - EX_{ni})|^q + \sum_{i=1}^n E[(a_{ni} X_{ni} - a_{ni} EX_{ni})^2]^{q/2} \ll \\ \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni} X_i|^q I(|a_{ni} X_i| \leq n^{-W}) &+ \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}| \cdot \\ n^{-Wq} P(|a_{ni} X_i| > n^{-W}) &+ \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}|^2 n^{q/2} \ll \\ \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni} X_i|^{2/\alpha} n^{-Wq-2/\alpha} &+ \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}|^{q\alpha} n^{2/\alpha} \cdot \\ n^{2W/\alpha-2q} E|X_1|^{2/\alpha} &+ \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}|^{q\alpha} n^{q/2} \ll \\ n^{-1-Wq} + n^{-1-\tau q} &+ \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}|^{q\alpha} n^{q/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

By formula (6), when  $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2 - W$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}|^{q\alpha} n^{q/2} \leq n^{-\theta q/2}. \quad (12)$$

By formula (5), when  $\tau > 1/2 - W$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}|^{q\alpha} n^{q/2} \leq n^{-q(1-2\tau-2W)/2}. \quad (13)$$

(下转第 45 页 Continue on page 45)

这就说明不存在优于  $AY + A_0$  的非齐次线性预测,故  $AY + A_0 \sim^H SY_0(T)$ .

**推论 1** 考虑多元线性模型  $(Y, XB, U | (B, U) \in T)$ . 设  $SY_0$  是条件可预测, 则  $AY + A_0 \sim^H SY_0(T)$  的充要条件是 (i)  $_{-}(A_0) \subseteq_{-}(AX - SX_0)$ , (ii) 对所有  $\Gamma \in C^*$ ,  $_{-}(\Gamma) \subseteq_{-}((AX - SX_0)')$ , 有  $\text{tr}(\Gamma'(AX - SX_0)^+ A_0) \geq 0$ , (iii)  $(A - \mathcal{S}'V^*)X[(X'D^+ X)^- - I](SX_0 - \mathcal{S}'V^* X)' \geq (A - \mathcal{S}'V^*)X[(X'D^+ X)^- - I]X'(A - \mathcal{S}'V^* X)$ , (iv)  $\text{rk}(AX - SX_0)(X'D^+ X - I)X' = \text{rk}(AX - SX_0)$ , 其中  $D = V + XX'$ .

**参考文献:**

[1] Pereira C A B, Rodrigues J. Robust linear prediction in finite populations [J]. International Statistical Review, 1983, 51: 293-300.  
 [2] Bolfarine H, Pereira C A B, Rodrigues J. Robust linear prediction in finite populations—A bayesian perspective [J]. Sankhya Series B, 1987, 49: 23-25.

[3] Bolfarine H, Rodrigues J. On the simple prediction in finite populations [J]. Aust Jour Statist, 1988, 30: 338-341.  
 [4] Bolfarine H, Zacks S. Bayes and minimax prediction in finite populations [J]. Jour Statistical Planning and Inference, 1991, 28: 139-151.  
 [5] 喻胜华, 何灿芝. 任意秩多元线性模型中的最优预测 [J]. 应用数学学报, 2001, 24(1): 227-236.  
 [6] Bolfarine H, Zacks S, Elian S N, et al. Optimal prediction of finite populations regression coefficient [J]. Sankhya Series B, 1994, 56: 1-10.  
 [7] 袁权龙, 王浩波. 多元线性模型中条件最优预测的稳健性 [J]. 数学研究, 2005, 38(4): 434-439.  
 [8] Wu Jian-hong. Admissibility of linear estimators in multivariate linear models with respect to inequality constraints [J]. Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 2008, 428: 2040-2048.  
 [9] 何道江. 不等式约束下线性预测的可容许性 [J]. 数学研究, 2007, 40(4): 425-431.

(责任编辑: 尹 闯)

(上接第 40 页 Continue from page 40)  
 Combining  $q > \max \{2/\Gamma, 2\theta, 1/|\mathbb{T} - W - 1/2|\}$  and formula (11)~ (13), we know the formula (10) is proved. So we complete the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Because  $\mathbb{d}$ -mixing sequences are more general than NA sequences or  $\mathbb{d}$ -mixing sequences. So we have the following two corollaries.

**Corollary 2.1** Let  $0 < \mathbb{T} \leq 1$ , and  $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$  be a  $\mathbb{d}$ -mixing or NA sequence of identically distributed random variables with  $EX_1 = 0, E|X_1|^{2/\mathbb{T}} < \infty$ . And  $a_{ni} \leq Cn^{-2/\mathbb{T}-W}, n \geq 1, i \leq n$  and  $0 < W < \mathbb{T}/2, |a_{ni}| = 0, i > n$ . And there exists a constant  $\theta > 0$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}^2 \leq Cn^{-\theta}$ , for all  $n$ . Then  $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Corollary 2.2** Let  $0 < \mathbb{T} \leq 1$ , and  $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$  be a  $\mathbb{d}$ -mixing or NA sequence of identically distributed random variables with  $EX_1 = 0, E|X_1|^{2/\mathbb{T}} < \infty$ . And  $a_{ni} \leq Cn^{-2/\mathbb{T}-W}, n \geq 1, i \leq n$  and  $0 < W < \mathbb{T}/2, |a_{ni}| = 0, i > n$ . And there exists a constant  $\theta > 0$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}^2 \leq Cn^{-\theta}$ , for all  $n$ , as  $0 < \mathbb{T} \leq 1/2 - W$ . Then  $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i \xrightarrow{c} 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**参考文献:**

[1] Zhang L X, Wang X Y. Convergence rates in the strong laws of asymptotically negatively associated random fields [J]. Appl Math J Chinese Univ, 1999, 14(4): 406-416.  
 [2] Zhang L X. A functional central limit theorem for asymptotically negatively dependent random fields [J]. Acta Math Hungar, 2000, 86(3): 237-259.  
 [3] Zhang L X. Central limit theorems for asymptotically negatively associated random fields [J]. Acta Math Sinica, English Series, 2000, 16(4): 691-710.  
 [4] Stout W F. Almost sure convergence [M]. New York Academic Press, 1974.  
 [5] Thrum R. A remark on almost sure convergence of weighted sums [J]. Probab Th Rel Fields, 1987, 75: 425-430.  
 [6] Joag Dev K, Proschan F. Negative association of random variables with applications [J]. Ann Statist, 1983, 11: 268-295.  
 [7] Wang J F, LU F B. Inequalities of maximum of partial sums and weak convergence for a class of weak dependent random variables [J]. Acta Math Sinica, 2006, 22(3): 693-700.

(责任编辑: 尹 闯)