

# Convergence of the Non-negative Solutions of a Higher-order Rational Difference Equation\*

## 一类高阶有理差分方程非负解的收敛性

WANG Qi<sup>1</sup>, CHEN Zhan-he<sup>2</sup>, ZHANG Geng-rong<sup>2</sup>

王琦<sup>1</sup>, 陈占和<sup>2</sup>, 张更容<sup>2</sup>

(1. 广西科技大学理学院, 广西柳州 545006; 2. 广西大学数学与信息科学学院, 广西南宁 530004)

(1. College of Science, Guangxi University of Science and Technology, Liuzhou, Guangxi, 545006, China; 2. College of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi, 530004, China)

**Abstract:** This paper is concerned with the following higher-order rational difference equation:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2i-1} x_{n-2i+1}}{A + x_{n-2l}}, n = 0, 1, \dots$$

where  $k$  and  $l$  are non-negative integers, the parameter  $\alpha$  is positive real number, the parameters  $A, B_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$  and the initial conditions are non-negative real numbers. We give the sufficient conditions, under which every non-negative solution of the equation converges to a period-two solution of the equation.

**Key words:** difference equation, convergence, period-two solution, boundedness

**摘要:** 针对高阶有理差分方程  $x_{n+1} = \alpha + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2i-1} x_{n-2i+1}}{A + x_{n-2l}}, n = 0, 1, \dots$ , 其中  $k, l$  为非负整数,  $\alpha$  是正数,  $A, B_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$  和初始条件是非负数, 给出该方程的每个非负解都收敛于方程的一个二周期解的一个充分条件.

**关键词:** 差分方程 收敛性 二周期解 有界性

中图分类号: O175 文献标识码: A 文章编号: 1005-9164(2013)02-0088-03

Recently there has been a great interest in studying nonlinear difference equations for developing some techniques, which can be used in investigating the equations arising in models describing real life situations in biology, control theory, economics, etc.<sup>[1~6]</sup>. In reference[1], the following difference equation was investigated:

$$x_{n+1} = 1 + \frac{x_{n-2k+1}}{x_{n-2l}}, n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (0.1)$$

The authors showed that every positive solution of Equation(0.1) converges to a period-two solution of Equation(0.1).

Motivated by the above studies, in this paper, we investigate the following difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2i-1} x_{n-2i+1}}{A + x_{n-2l}}, n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (0.2)$$

where  $k, l$  are non-negative integers, the parameter  $\alpha$  is a positive real number, the parameters  $A, B_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$  and the initial conditions are non-negative real numbers.

Set  $X = \{i \mid B_{2i-1} \neq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, k+1\} = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r \mid i_t < i_m \text{ for } t < m\}$ .  $q = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2i-1}, t =$

收稿日期: 2013-02-16

修回日期: 2013-04-01

作者简介: 王琦(1978-), 男, 讲师, 主要从事差分方程与动力系统的研究。

\* This work was supported by NSF of China (No. 11161029, No. 11261005), NSF of Guangxi (No. 2010GXSF013109, No. 2012GXSF0276040), NSF of Guangxi University of Technology (No. 1166218).

$\max\{2k+1, 2l\}, s = \lceil \frac{t}{2} \rceil$ . We assume that the following statement is true:

(H)  $r > 1$  and  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r$  are relatively prime.

Under this condition, we show that every solution of Equation(0.2) converges to a period-two solution of Equation(0.2) if and only if

$$q = A + \alpha.$$

Since  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r$  are relatively prime, there exist non-zero integers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$  such that

$$\lambda_1 i_1 + \lambda_2 i_2 + \dots + \lambda_r i_r = 1.$$

In the sequel, for convenience, set

$$N_0 = s(|\lambda_1| i_1 + |\lambda_2| i_2 + \dots + |\lambda_r| i_r),$$

then for  $-s \leq j \leq s$ ,

$$N_0 + j = s(|\lambda_1| i_1 + |\lambda_2| i_2 + \dots + |\lambda_r| i_r) +$$

$$j = (s|\lambda_1| + j\lambda_1)i_1 + (s|\lambda_2| + j\lambda_2)i_2 + \dots + (s|\lambda_r| + j\lambda_r)i_r.$$

## 1 Preliminaries

Now some definitions and known results are presented which will be useful in the investigation.

Let  $f: J^{k+1} \rightarrow J$  be a continuous function, where  $k$  is a non-negative integer and  $J$  is an interval of real numbers. Consider the difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-k}), n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1.1)$$

with initial conditions  $x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \dots, x_0 \in J$ .

We say that  $\{x_n\}_{n=-k}^\infty$  is a period-two solution of Equation(1.1) if  $\{x_n\}_{n=-k}^\infty$  is a solution of Equation(1.1) and

$$x_n = x_{n+2} \text{ for all } n \geq -k.$$

The following result about Full Limiting Sequence is due to Karakostas.

**Theorem 1.1**<sup>[2]</sup> Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=-k}^\infty$  be a solution of Equation(1.1). Set  $I = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n, S = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  and suppose that  $I, S \in J$ . Let  $L$  be a limit point of the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=-k}^\infty$ . Then the following statements are true:

(1) There exists a solution  $\{L_n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  of Equation(1.1), called a full limiting sequence of  $\{x_n\}_{n=-k}^\infty$ , such that  $L_0 = L$ , and such that for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}, L_m$  is a limit point of  $\{x_n\}_{n=-k}^\infty$ .

(2) For every  $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{r_i}\}_{i=0}^\infty$  of  $\{x_n\}_{n=-k}^\infty$  such that  $L_n = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{r_i+n}$  for every  $n \geq i_0$ .

## 2 Main results

**Lemma 2.1** The following statements are true:

(1) There exists a non-negative solution of Equation(0.2), which is periodic with prime period-two if and only if

$$q = A + \alpha.$$

(2) Suppose  $q = A + \alpha$ . Then

$$\phi, \psi, \phi, \psi, \dots$$

is a solution of Equation(0.2), which is periodic with prime period-two if and only if

$$\alpha(A + \phi + \psi) = \phi\psi \text{ and } \phi \neq \psi.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.1 follows by simple computations and will be omitted.

**Lemma 2.2** Suppose  $q = A + \alpha$ . Then every non-negative solution of Equation(0.2) is bounded.

**Proof** Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=-t}^\infty$  be a non-negative solution of Equation(0.2). Choose  $m, M > 0$  such that

$$m \leq \min\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{t+1}\} \leq \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{t+1}\} \leq M, \alpha(A + M + m) = Mm.$$

From Equation(0.2) we get

$$m \leq \alpha + \frac{qm}{A + M} \leq \alpha + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2i-1} x_{t-2i+2}}{A + x_{t-2l+1}} \leq \alpha +$$

$$\frac{qM}{A + m} = M,$$

i. e.

$$m \leq x_{t+2} \leq M.$$

It follows by induction that

$$m \leq x_n \leq M \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Hence  $\{x_n\}_{n=-t}^\infty$  is bounded, and the proof is complete.

**Remark** Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=-t}^\infty$  be a non-negative solution of Equation(0.2). It follows by Lemma 2.2 that  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n < \infty$ . Throughout the remainder of this section, set

$$I = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \text{ and } S = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n.$$

**Theorem 2.1** Every solution of Equation(0.2) converges to a period-two solution of Equation(0.2).

**Proof** Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=-t}^\infty$  be a non-negative solution of Equation(0.2). Note that  $q = A + \alpha$ , hence

$$S \leq \alpha + \frac{(A + \alpha)S}{A + I}, I \geq \alpha + \frac{(A + \alpha)I}{A + S}.$$

It flows that

$$\alpha(A + S + I) = SI.$$

Set  $m_0 = 2s \max\{|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|, \dots, |\lambda_r|\}$ . Suppose, without loss of generality that there is a subsequence  $\{x_{2k_j}\}_{j=0}^\infty$  of  $\{x_n\}_{n=-l}^\infty$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{2k_j} = I_0 = I, \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{2k_j-2} = I_1, \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{2k_j-4} = I_2, \dots, \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{2k_j-2(m_0+l+1+N_0+k)} = I_{m_0+l+1+N_0+k}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{2k_j-1} = S_1, \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{2k_j-3} = S_2, \dots, \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{2k_j-2(m_0+l+1+N_0+k)+1} = S_{m_0+l+1+N_0+k}. \quad (2.2)$$

Note that

$$x_{2k_j} = \alpha + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2i-1} x_{2k_j-2i}}{A + x_{2k_j-2l-1}},$$

by taking limits, as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$I = \alpha + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2i-1} I_i}{A + S_{l+1}} \geq \alpha + \frac{(A + \alpha)I}{A + S} = I.$$

Note that  $I \leq I_i, S_i \leq S$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m_0 + l + 1 + N_0 + k\}$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2i-1} I_i = (A + \alpha)I, S_{l+1} = S.$$

Since

$$B_{2i_j-1} \neq 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, r,$$

we have

$$I_{i_j} = I \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

It follows by induction that for  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,

$$I_{p_1 i_1 + p_2 i_2 + \dots + p_r i_r} = I, S_{l+1+p_1 i_1 + p_2 i_2 + \dots + p_r i_r} = S.$$

For  $-s \leq j \leq s$ , note that

$$N_0 + j = (s|\lambda_1| + j\lambda_1)i_1 + (s|\lambda_2| + j\lambda_2)i_2 + \dots + (s|\lambda_r| + j\lambda_r)i_r,$$

we have

$$I_{N_0+j} = I, S_{l+1+N_0+j} = S \text{ for } -s \leq j \leq s. \quad (2.3)$$

Similarly, by  $S_{l+1} = S$ , we get  $I_{2l+1} = I$ , from which we get

$$I_{2l+1+N_0+j} = I \text{ for } -s \leq j \leq s. \quad (2.4)$$

Now we claim that there exists  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$I_i = I, S_i = S \text{ for } c-s \leq i \leq c. \quad (2.5)$$

In fact, Case 1:  $l < k$ . Then  $s = k$ , take  $c = N_0 + s$ , note that formula(2.3), hence formula(2.5) holds.

Case 2:  $l = k$ . Then  $s = k = l$ , take  $c = N_0 + 2s + 1$ , note that formula(2.3) and(2.4), hence formula

(2.5) holds. Case 3:  $l > k$ . Then  $s = l$ , take  $c = N_0$

+ 2l + 1, note that formula(2.3) and (2.4), hence formula(2.5) holds.

$$\text{Let } \epsilon > 0 \text{ be given, set } \epsilon_1 = \frac{(S-\alpha)\epsilon}{I+\epsilon-\alpha} < \frac{S-\alpha}{I-\alpha}\epsilon.$$

Then, in view of formula(2.1) and (2.2), there exists  $i \geq 0$ , such that

$$x_{2k_i-2c}, x_{2k_i-2(c-1)}, \dots, x_{2k_i-2(c-s)} < I + \epsilon,$$

and

$$x_{2k_i-2c+1}, x_{2k_i-2(c-1)+1}, \dots, x_{2k_i-2(c-s)+1} > S - \epsilon_1.$$

Hence

$$x_{2k_i-2(c-s)+2} < \alpha + \frac{q(I+\epsilon)}{A+S-\epsilon_1} = I + \epsilon,$$

$$x_{2k_i-2(c-s)+3} > \alpha + \frac{q(S-\epsilon_1)}{A+I+\epsilon} = S - \epsilon_1.$$

It follows by induction that for all  $n \geq 2k_i - 2c$ , we have

$$x_n < I + \epsilon, \text{ when } n \text{ is even;}$$

$$x_n > S - \epsilon_1, \text{ when } n \text{ is odd.}$$

And so clearly

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n} = I, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n+1} = S.$$

The proof is complete.

## References:

- [1] Dehghan M, Mazrooei-Sebdani R. Some results about the global attractivity of bounded solutions of difference equations with applications to periodic solutions[J]. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 2007, 32: 1398-1412.
- [2] Chatterjee E, Grove E A, Kostrov Y, et al. On the Trichotomy character of  $x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + \gamma x_{n-1}}{A + Bx_n + x_{n-2}}$  [J]. J Diff Equ Appl, 2003, 9(12): 1113-1128.
- [3] Dehghan M, Mazrooei-Sebdani R. Dynamics of  $x_{n+1} = \frac{x_{n-2k+1}}{x_{n-2k+1} + \alpha x_{n-2l}}$  [J]. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2007, 185: 464-472.
- [4] Grove E A, Ladas G, Predescu M, et al. On the Global character of the difference equation  $x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + \gamma x_{n-(2k+1)} + \delta x_{n-2l}}{A + x_{n-2l}}$  [J]. J Diff Equ Appl, 2003, 9(2): 171-199.
- [5] Li W T, Sun H R. Dynamics of a rational difference equation[J]. Appl Math Comput, 2005, 163: 577-591.
- [6] Palladino F J. Difference inequalities, comparison tests, and some consequences[J]. Involve J Math, 2008, 1: 91-100.

(责任编辑: 尹 闯)